

✓

G R S App F IX 4]

**1942**

( Ml )

**File No** 11-4

**Serial Nos** 12, 13, 14, 29, 68, 69

*Previous References*

Ml 13-36-124

*Later References*

Cm 31 33 15

# GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

*Serial Nos* 1 to x

**Part B for** Jan 43

SUBJECT

Confirmation of T Dasarayans as Hackney Carriage Inspector.

To,
The Presdt City Ml Cl Mysore
No 6612 dt 6.1.43

States that he ~~cannot~~ will be confirmed unless he passes the required exams within two years

General and Revenue Secretariat ML File No of 194/42 [App F III-2

Serial No

"A"

From the DSt, cy ML CC, Mysore No GS 1534 D/ 5-2-42

Recommends that T Dasaraj Urs Hackney carriage Inspector of the Mysore City may be confirmed & his pay may be fixed at Rs 40/- after his passing the examination ~~as~~ ~~also~~ | The file ML 25-38-75 transferring Dasaraj Urs from the ministerial to the executive line is not easily traceable & a search is being made

2 A copy of the GO issued (No L 4918-20 ML 25-38-75 D/16-12-38) in regard to the transfer of Dasaraj Urs to the executive line is found in the file sent by the DSt

- In the letter ~~org~~ read in the GO it is stated to "confirm him" but the DSt states that he has not made any recommendation to confirm him

: Until the ~~original~~ file of this office is traced it is not possible to say which is correct

- The pay of the Hackney carriage Inspector is Rs 35-1-40-1-45 but the incumbent ~~at present~~ is given only Rs 3

Pr

OTE—Cont Sheet

W D 041 —GPB—5 000— 3 10 41

2) Confirmation of D Desaray Tws Kachin Company
39 [illegible] Supr Myitkyina Hdq

M File No of 1942-3

General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No

& it is not clear why he was ~~[illegible]~~ given only Rs 30 ~~who~~ when the minimum pay ~~is Rs~~ of the post is Rs 35/- .

The present proposal is to confirm the present incumbent & start him on Rs 40/- after he passes the examination

Submitted for orders

WB 8/4 It is open to the Head of a Dept to start an appointee on a pay less than the minimum. Besides, it is seen that Desaray Tws was put on probation & given a pay of Rs 30 against the post on 35/-40/65. There is nothing wrong in the arrangement. The Pdt recommendation to confirm the official now (he would be on the minimum pay of Rs 35/-) & to give him Rs 40 on his passing the examination may, it is respectfully submitted, be approved. The official's age is 30 years. The Vice Pdt has recommended his case strongly.

SK 8/4

3) Please put up the [illegible] 18-34-25 & a copy of [illegible] No. 7487-507 [illegible] 157-32-15 d/ 18 4 1934

What has become of the [illegible] 25-38-75 [illegible] it been countermarked in the file register [illegible] does the [illegible] register show?

[illegible] 8/4

Re– Confirmation of Desaiy Mrs
Hadamy Cannale [illegible]
[illegible]

394/L 749/L

General and Revenue Secretariat ML File No 11 of 19243 [App I III—2

Serial No

9 ML Files 18-34-25 & 25-38-75 are not available & a search is being made to trace them
The file containing GO No L 7487-507/ML 151-32-15 d/ 18 4-34 is put up

"N"

10) Subd with a draft letter for appl as ~~[illegible]~~ instructed

KKB
16/7

Issue
16/7/42

11) To
The Ddt, Off of ML Cl, Mysore
No L 642 d/ 17-7-42
Calls for information on certain points
From the Ddt, Off of ML Cl, Mysore
No ML 4 C2/4243 d/ 29-7-42
Furnishes the information called for

Subd A
22/7

12) Subd ~~for orders~~ with refce to para 10 supra

KKB
4/8

~~13 Further attempts will be made to trace~~
The refce refed to at para 9 supra –

13 Time is allowed till 11/8/42 for tracing & putting up the reference
It should not be delayed beyond that date under any circumstances

4/8/42

NOTE—Contn Sheet

Confirmation of T Dasaraj Urs, Hackney carriage Inspector, Mysore

749

File No 11 of 194 1/42

General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No

Initd A further search was made and the files are not traceable

HB
13/8
25

16 Then the extracts ^x from the files of the Secrt refd to above may be put up to facilitate the disposal of the case "W"

---

17 It will be seen from the Service Register of the official T Dasaraj Urs that he is a permanent clerk of the Mysore City Mpty in Rs ~~35-1-40-1-45~~ 25-1-30-1-40 from 5 10 35 and that if he had continued in that grade, he would have got Rs 32/- p.m from 5 10 1942

18 He has however been appointed as a Hackney carriage Inspector on 1/6/38 on probation on Rs 30/- p.m He is now sub-pro tem Inspector from 1 6 39 on Rs 35+5 in grade 35-1-40-1-45

19 The present proposal is to confirm him on Rs 40/- p.m in the above grade, after he passes the departmental examination i.e. The Mysore Municipal & Local Boards Examination. It will be seen from the records that the official was definitely informed that unless he passes

Confirmation of P Dasaraj as Hackney Carriage Inspector, Mysore City Mlty 5

General and Revenue Secretariat

M File No 1/749 of 1941 [App F III-2

Serial No

the departmental tests, he will not get the increments due to him. Both the Presidents viz Messrs M A Srinivasan and M Navaneetham Naidu have held the same opinion. Though this has happened in the year 1938, the official has not passed the examination

20 As stated above, if he had continued as a clerk on Rs 25-1-30-1-40 he should have to draw only Rs 32/- by 5-10-42 & he had to pass the departmental examination before drawing his increments. He is now placed in a better position ~~than~~ as much as he has got Rs 35+5 in a grade appointment & has not stagnated anywhere

21 Under the City Mlties Act 1933, the President is empowered to sanction appointments up to Rs 100/- p 10 This case is within the competence of the President. Having regard to the orders passed by two Presidents, the reference need have been made by the present President to Govt in this matter. The confirmation of the official depends on his passing the departmental examination as already stated by the two Presidents

22 In regard to his being fixed in the intermediate stage of Rs 40 in grade Rs 35-1-40-1-45, the Presidents

NOTE—Cont Sheet

6) Confirmation of Dasaraj Urs Hackney Carriage Inspector, Mysore City Mcy

File No 11 2H of 1949 | General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No

recommendation is that he should be given that pay subject to his passing the departmental examination and with effect from the date of passing the same. The proposal involves the grant of five advance increments to the official in that grade and it can be considered on merits only after it is reported that he has passed the L.S.G. examination. The President will therefore be informed that he may make a reference to Govt. if he considers it necessary – after the official passes the L S G examination.

23 In regard to the grant of the intermediate stage of pay of Rs 40 in grade 35-1-40-1 45, to the official in the circs stated above, the Finance Secy may also be consulted, if necessary.

Law Secy) 17/5/49

SECY L 30
20/5

US 1 What would have been his pay now if he had continued as a clerk on Rs 25-1-40?

US 2 As he was made sub protem from 1-6-39 as Hack Carriage Inspector is he not entitled to increments according to Rules? If so what would be his pay now?

18-9 17 9 49

J 249 / 2242 (7

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No 11 of 194~~2~~ 42 | [App F III-2

Serial No

23 Submitted with a draft letter for appl.

UB
17/9/42

Imp
18/9

24 To The DCh, of M C Cl, Mysore
No L 3246 D/ 22-9-42

Calls for some additional information

Ans A / 249-54

from The DCh, of M C Cl Mysore
No M 642/42 43 D/ 6 10 42
Furnishes the information called for

25) It is stated that Dasaraj Urs would have been drawing Rs 32 on 5-10-42 if he had continued as clerk on Rs 25-1-40 & if the increments had been sanctioned year by year & ~~so~~ he would draw Rs 38/- from 1-6 42 in Grade 35-1-45 as Hackney carriage Inspector if the period from 1-6 39 when he was made sub protem to 1-6-42 is taken as qualifying ~~for~~ service for earning increments

26) Submitted with reference to Paras 24 & 25 supra

UB
18/10/42

30) Refer paras 24 & 25 supra
He would have drawn Rs 32/- on 5/10/42 if he had continued as clerk on Rs 25-1-40 & if the increments had been sanctioned year by year

NOTE—Cont Sheet

WD 141 5—GPB—5 000—23 10-41

.·. As Hackney carriage Inspector, he.

would have drawn Rs 38/- from 1 6 42 in grade Rs 35-1-45, if the period from 1/6/39 wherever made subsequent to 1 6 42 is taken as qualifying service towards comp. increment. The F.I. Secy may be consulted in regard to the fixing of the pay of the [illegible] as Hackney carriage inspector at Rs 40/- in grade Rs 35-1-45 - vide paras 17 to 23 of the notes also giving the facts of the case clearly.

Law Secy 24/11/42

SECY LA 5770 GOVT

32) For favour of opinion

Fin Secy

25 11 42

FC No 2263
25/11/42

33) The papers relating to [illegible] of 16 12 41 may kindly be put up

27/11

28 11 42

34) Submitted. ~~The~~ The file is not traceable. An extract from the file Register ~~was~~ is put up as directed by the Asstt Secy in para 16 supra (Vide flag "W") [illegible] in the file (flag X)

Asst Secy Finance W.C. 28/11/42

28/11

35) The extract is not helpful According to the

P T O

G R S APP F III—1]

## GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

( )

## NOTES

**File No**

FILE HEADING

FROM

No Dated Received

Diary No } Enclos Spare copies { Serial No

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER

## NOTES AND ORDERS

Government Order dated 16th December 1938, the party should hav been confirmed as Hackney Carriage Inspector in which case increments would have accured to him from time to time unless ordered otherwise specifically

2b) If the contention that the confirmation was subject to his passing the examination is to be accepted he cannot draw increments unless and until he passes the examination

NOTES—First Sheet

Confirmation of Dasaraj Urs, Hackney carriage
10) Inspector, Mysore City Municipality
22.42

ML File No 11 of 1941-42

General and Revenue Secretariat

Submitted for orders

US 8/12
CS 8/12

37) Kind reference is invited to the notes at paras 17 to 23 which explain all the aspects of the case. As a matter of fact, the President need not have made a reference to Govt at all in this matter, as the question is one for decision in his competence and as the two previous Presidents viz Messrs N. A. Lakshmidasan & M. Narasimham Naidu have definitely ordered that the official can be confirmed in his present post only after passing the Local Self Govt Examination. The official has not passed the examination even though four years have elapsed after he was told to.

"M" "E"

38) The official is now on a sub pro tem basis in his post in Rs 35-1-45. He has not been given increments from 1939. If so approved, he may be given all the increments due up to date, with the direction that he would be confirmed only if he passes the examination which should be done within a period of two years from date. Otherwise, his promotion will be stopped at Rs 40/- p.m. in grade Rs 35-1-45. This suggestion is in the nature of a concession and is for kind orders of Govt.

Law Secy
for 10/12/42

SECY LAW DEPT
7204
10 3 10
GOVT OF MYSORE

Confirmation of increments as
St Hackney Carriage Inspr - Mysore (11

**Continuation Sheet** M C File No / Current No 11 of 4 U Page

22 DEC 1942

OFFICE OF THE MINISTER
FOR L S G & P H
Dt 11/12/42
No 4584

35 As he is S P T he may be given all the increments due up to date. He will not be confirmed unless he passes the required examination within 2 years

Submitted S G

Circulated
10/12/42
for 11/12/42

Sd
19 12 42

[illegible]
21/12/42

Approved
21/12/42

Submitted with draft letter for appl

22/12

I issue
22/12/42

74 To the [illegible], M.B.C., Mysore
NO L 6612 dt 6-1-43

States that he may be given all the increments due up to date & told that he will not be confirmed unless he passes the required examn within two years from date

P.O. 10/1
43

MUN C GO MY ORE

T 153

Dated 31st December 1941.
5-2-42

Nl 11-42-12.

GEN & REV SECRET
7 JAN 1942
L S G BRANCH

From B S Raghavendra Rao, Esq, M A (Hons)
~~M NAVANEETHAM NAIDU, Esq~~
PRESIDENT CITY MUNICIPAL COUNCIL
MYSORE.

SECY LAW DEPT
6 1 42
GOVT O MYSORE

To
N V Narayana Rao, Esq , B A ,LL B ,
Secretary to Government, Law Department,
BANGALORE

Sir,

Subject Confirmation of T Dasaraja Urs,
as Hackney Carriage Inspector

In forwarding herewith the entire file of correspondence ending with the Vice-President's note dated 1st December 1941 regarding the above, I have the honor to state as follows

2 T Dasaraja Urs was a clerk in grade 25-1-40 up to 23rd July 1937 from which date he was appointed to act as Hackney Carriage Inspector in grade Rs 35-1-40-1-45 He was subsequently appointed as probationer in the post on Rs 30/- p m from 1st June 1938 stating that his confirmation was subject to his passing the obligatory Departmental Examination of Municipal and Local Boards prescribed in Government Order No L 7487-507/Ml 151-32-15 dated 18th April 1934 His transfer from ministerial to Executive service was sanctioned by Government in their Order No L 4918-20/Ml 25-38-75 dated 16-12-1938 On a representation of the official to start him on the minimum pay of the post, the then President Mr M A Sreenivasan ordered that he may be treated as subprotem Hackney Carriage Inspector with effect from 1st June 1939 and that the confirmation and future increments were subject to his passing the examination The official sat for the examination bud did not get through He subsequently began to make repeated representations urging for confirmation and also grant of increments My predecessor in office Mr M Navaneetha Naidu also ordered twice on 28th Novr 1939 and on 6th May,1940 that the confirmation question could be taken up only after he passed

passed the prescribed examination

In line 8 of the preamble of the Government Order dated 16th December 1938 sanctioning the official's change from ministerial to executive establishment, the proposal of the President is noted as 'to confirm him' and this has been approved A note has however been made in the copy of the Government Order received in this Office that there was no such recommendation for his confirmation, in this office letter No G T 244 dated 16th July 1938 referred to therein

4 The post of Hackney Carriage Inspector is a permanent one carrying a grade of Rs 35-1-40-1-45 + 5 C A and has been sanctioned Government Order No L 2219-20/Ml 18-34-25 dated 25th Sept 1934 The collections of License fees on public conveyances for the past 3 years are noted in the margin The work of T Dasaraja Urs eversince his appointment as Hackney Carriage Inspector has been reported to be steady and satisfactory The Revenue Officer and the Vice-President have recommended his confirmation in view of the good work turned out by him although he has not yet passed the examination

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 38-39 | Rs 41,244 | |
| 39-40 | Rs 37,633 | (Rate of cycle tax was reduced) |
| 40-41 | Rs 41,578 | |

5 But in view of the definite orders passed by two of my predessors in office, I feel, I cannot revise the orders passed by my predecessors in office regarding not confirming him till he passed the Departmental Examination

6 I would however, like to state that this is a hard working official deserving of confirmation I therefore request sanction of Government to confirm him and on his passing the departmental examination to start him on intermediate pay at Rs 40/- from the date of passi the examination taking into account the good work done by him

7 The Service Register of T Dasaraja Urs is also enclosed for perusal and return

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

PRESIDENT
2/1/1942

KSR

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT

Section ML
File No 11-41.
Serial No 13

Diary No of letter replied to

No L 642

To

The Pdt, C&M Ml Cl, Mysore

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
the 19

Date of issue 17 7 42

Signed by K G R Rao

Sir,

With reference to your letter No GT 1534 Dy 5-2-42 in the matter of the Confirmation of T Dasaraj Urs, as Hackney carriage Inspector of the C&M Mlty, I am directed to request you to be so good as to furnish information on the following points -

(1) What are his qualifications

(2) Has he since passed the

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

L S G examination & if so when?

(3) Cannot his case be disposed of
in accordance with the orders of
Govt communicated in their Memo No
~~letter no~~ L 11752-72 of 19-6-42 "D"
in regard to the grant of increments
to the officials who have not passed
the L-S G Test etc ?

An early reply is requested

I have etc
for
Secy to Govt
Law Deptt

WB
16/7

9/ 17/7

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT
CITY MUNICIPAL COUNCIL MYSORE

*No* L.4-C.2 of 42-43
*Encl*

*Date* 29th July *1942*

11-42-14 749

*From*

B S RAGHAVENDRA RAO Esq M A (Hons)
PRESIDENT CITY MUNICIPAL COUNCIL
MYSORE

*To*

SECY LAW [illegible] GOVT MYSORE

G. NARAYANASWAMY NAIDU, Esq , B A ,B L ,
Secretary to Government,
Law Department, Bangalore

Sir,

With reference to your letter No L 642/M1-11-41 dated the 17th instant, I have the honour to state as follows

(1) T Dasaraj Urs has passed the S S L C Examination and has undergone Intermediate course

(2) The said official has not yet passed the Local Self-Government Examination

(3) Dasaraj Urs is a permanent clerk in grade Rs 25-1-40 and is now sub-protem Hackney Carriage Inspector on Rs 35-1-45 His tenure of appointment in the latter place was treated as sub-protem with effect from 1-6-1939 As to the query as to why this official's case cannot be disposed of in accordance with the orders of Government dated 19-6-1942 in regard to increments, it may be stated that this was not a case of giving increments but giving promotion and increments in a higher grade when the official was not qualified by passing the prescribed examination

There is a similar case also wherein ~~the~~ fact the official has been told that he will be reverted unless he passes the Examination Now no such promotion to a higher grade is being given unless the official is duly qualified by passing the required Departmental Examination

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

B Raghavendra Rao
PRESIDENT

SNR

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT

Section MC
File No 11-42
Serial No 29

Diary No of letter replied to

No L 3246
SR & Records

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
the 19

To
The Pdt, Cy MCl, Mysore

Sir

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No Mb 4 C2/4243 dt 29-7-42 re the confirmation of V Dasaraj Urs, Hackney Carriage Inspector of the Cy Mcy, I am directed to request you to be so good as to furnish information on the following points —

(1) what would have been his pay if he had continued as a clerk on Rs 25-1-40?

Date of issue 22 9 42

Signed by Mr GR Rao

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

(2) as he was made sub-protem from 1-6-39 as Hackney carriage Inspector, is he not entitled to increments according to rules? &

(3) if so, what would be his pay now?

Records accompany the ref[illegible] & transmission

I have etc

from

for Secy Govt

Law Dept

WB
17/7

2242 21

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT
CITY MUNICIPAL COUNCIL MYSORE

No M4 2/42 43
Encl one file with Service Register

Date 6th October 1942

From

By Registered

11-12-68

GEN & REV SECRETARIAT
-7 OCT 1942
L S G BRANCH

SECY L W DEPT
GOVT

B S RAGHAVENDRA RAO Esq M A (H )
PRESIDENT CITY MUNICIPAL COUNCIL
MYSORE

To

C [illegible] Rao Esq B [illegible]
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore [illegible] Department
Bangalore

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. [illegible] 3 40 dated the 22nd September 194[illegible] calling for information on certain points in connection with the proposal for [illegible] tion of [illegible] Sanitary Inspector [illegible] I have the honour to state as follows:-

1 [illegible] would have been [illegible] if he had continued as clerk on Rs 25-1-40

[illegible] were [illegible] service on [illegible]-1930 The Government Order No [illegible] 7-[illegible] 151-[illegible]-35 required that candidates for appointments [illegible] above in Local Bodies should [illegible] Local Boards [illegible] tion [illegible] on 13-[illegible]-1[illegible] [illegible] however appointed [illegible] with effect from [illegible]-1-1[illegible] [illegible] the [illegible] appointed [illegible] as [illegible] Government [illegible] 13-[illegible] [illegible] to [illegible] test [illegible] the [illegible] the [illegible]

[illegible] would have [illegible] Rs [illegible]0/- on [illegible]-10-1942 if he had continued as clerk on Rs 25-1-40 and [illegible] the increments had been not stopped, [illegible]

2 [illegible] sub-protected from 1-6-1939 [illegible] Sanitary Inspector [illegible] not entitled to increments according [illegible] and

(2)

1 P u D I

(3)

P u D II

B. Raghavendra Rao
6/10

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT

Section Ml

File No 1-42

Serial No 69

Diary No of letter replied to

No L 6612
records

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 194

Date of issue 6-1-43

Signed by Mr G R Rao

To

The Pdt, City Ml Cl, Mysore

Sir,

With reference to the Correspondence ending with your letter No M42/42-43 Dt 6 10 42 re the Confirmation of T Dasaraj Urs, Hackney carriage Inspector of the City Mly, I am directed to state that, as he is on a probation tenure, he may be given all the increments due up to date in the grade of Rs 35-1-45 & told that he will not be confirmed unless he passes the required examination within two years from date

List of ~~enclosures~~

Codied by

Examined by

The records are herewith returned.

I have etc

for

Jt Secy to Govt

Law Deptt

22/12

Memo No L 6613/Records dt 6/1/43

Copy of the above is forwarded with compliments to the Comptroller Assam for information

By order

for

Jt Secy to Govt

Law Deptt

22/12

Pages 24